

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MARTIN VELEZ,

12 Petitioner,

2:05-cv-0786-GEB-DAD-P

13 vs.

14 ROSANNE CAMPBELL, et al.,

15 Respondents.

ORDER

16 _____/
17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.


20 On January 14, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither
23 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
25 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
26 ORDERED that:

1 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 14, 2009, are adopted in full;
2 and

3 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

4 Dated: February 25, 2009

5
6 
7 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26